

**CE492 Graduation Design Project Evaluation Form**

Student ID

Student Name

Project Title

Evaluating Jury

Supervisor:

Jury 1:

Jury 2:

Jury 3:

Jury 4:

**A. Evaluation of the Supervisor (50%)**

0

../50

**1. Did the student have sufficient knowledge on the topics of related engineering discipline?**

Very Good (5pts)

Good (4 pts)

Satisfactory(3 pts)

Fair (2 pts)

Poor (1 pts)

..

./5

**2. Did the student actively contribute to team discussions and activities?**

Very Good (5pts)

Good (4 pts)

Satisfactory(3 pts)

Fair (2 pts)

Poor (1 pts)

..

./5

**3. Did the student take responsibility for assigned tasks and complete them on time?**

Very Good (5pts)

Good (4 pts)

Satisfactory(3 pts)

Fair (2 pts)

Poor (1 pts)

..

./5

**4. To what extent did the student incorporate engineering standards and consider realistic constraints (such as economic, environmental, social, and safety factors) in their design project?**

Very Good (5pts)

Good (4 pts)

Satisfactory(3 pts)

Fair (2 pts)

Poor (1 pts)

..

./5

**5. How well did the student integrate knowledge and skills from previous courses into their design project?**

Very Good (5pts)

Good (4 pts)

Satisfactory(3 pts)

Fair (2 pts)

Poor (1 pts)

..

./5

**6. How effectively did the student demonstrate the ability to identify engineering problems and apply modern engineering tools to develop solutions?**

Very Good (5pts)

Good (4 pts)

Satisfactory(3 pts)

Fair (2 pts)

Poor (1 pts)

..

./5

**7. How did the student contribute to solving problems or overcoming challenges within the team?**

Very Good (5pts)

Good (4 pts)

Satisfactory(3 pts)

Fair (2 pts)

Poor (1 pts)

..

./5

**8. Did the student show initiative in taking on leadership roles or guiding the team?**

Very Good (5pts)

Good (4 pts)

Satisfactory(3 pts)

Fair (2 pts)

Poor (1 pts)

..

./5

**9. Evaluation of student's overall performance?**

Very Good (10pts)

Good (8 pts)

Satisfactory (6 pts)

Fair (4 pts)

Poor (2 pts)

..

./10

**B. Evaluation of the Jury (50%)**

0

../50

**1.How are the results and achieved work level?**

Very Good (5pts)

Good (4 pts)

Satisfactory(3 pts)

Fair (2 pts)

Poor (1 pts)

..

./5

**2.Understanding of subject matter: Did the student learn the subject of the project?**

Very Good (5pts)

Good (4 pts)

Satisfactory(3 pts)

Fair (2 pts)

Poor (1 pts)

..

./5

**3. How did the presenter respond to the questions?**

Very Good (5pts)

Good (4 pts)

Satisfactory(3 pts)

Fair (2 pts)

Poor (1 pts)

..

./5

**4. To what extent were the objectives achieved/are likely to be achieved?**

All (5pts)

Almost All (4pts)

Some (3pts)

Few (2pts)

Very Few (0pts)

..

./5

**5. Did the presenter perform a good presentation and finish timely? (20 ± 2 minutes)?**

On time (5pts)

Late (4pts)

Very Late (3pts)

Very Very Late (2pts)

Did not give (0pts)

..

./5

**6. Presentation Organization: Overall Style, Logical Sequence, Proper Citation**

Very Good (5pts)

Good (4 pts)

Satisfactory(3 pts)

Fair (2 pts)

Poor (1 pts)

..

./5

**7. Presentation Content: Outline, Problem Definition, Objectives, Results, Conclusion**

Very Good (5pts)

Good (4 pts)

Satisfactory(3 pts)

Fair (2 pts)

Poor (1 pts)

..

./5

**8. Presentation Skills: Eye Contact, Clear Voice, Self Confidence**

Very Good (5pts)

Good (4 pts)

Satisfactory(3 pts)

Fair (2 pts)

Poor (1 pts)

..

./5

**9. Evaluation of student's overall performance?**

Very Good (10pts)

Good (8 pts)

Satisfactory (6 pts)

Fair (4 pts)

Poor (2 pts)

..

./10

**C. Bonus (25%) \*Original contribution is not expected for an undergraduate project, but if there is, this will be a bonus.**

0

../50

**1. A conference paper is written as an outcome of the project.**

Paper Written(10pts)

..

./10

**2. Work has been accepted for presentation in a conference.**

Work Accepted (10pts)

..

./10

**3. New ideas exist but not yet ready to publish.**

New ideas exist (5pts)

..

./5

TOTAL GRADE

0

../100